

# SOCIALISM IS UNJUST

## An Excerpt from “A Look Back at Jamestown Shows Why the Social Justice Movement and Socialism, Despite All Their Appeals to Justice, Are Inherently Unjust”

An online copy of this excerpt is available at <https://t.ly/3C10u>.

On page 55 of his book, *How Capitalism Saved America, The Untold History of Our Country, from the Pilgrims to the Present*,<sup>1</sup> Thomas J. DiLorenzo cites two experts in the history of economics — Gary Walton and Hugh Rockoff. Walton and Rockoff illustrate in simple terms what happened in Jamestown — and what essentially happens everywhere workers are put under a socialist economic system and instructed to contribute to a community store through their labors and to draw from that same store to address their needs. Here’s a summary of the scenario Walton and Rockoff present.

Ten workers collectively “own” land (actually, the government owns it) on which they are to produce 100 bushels of wheat. Thus, each worker will, according to the plan, have 10 bushels to consume once the wheat is harvested. One worker, however, begins to slack off. His slothfulness, the willful neglect of his duty, his napping, or any other distraction causing him to work less than everyone else — any of these or a combination of two or more — result in a reduction of his work contribution and output by 50 percent. If everyone else performs as planned (this is highly unlikely to happen) but this one worker does not, the collective output of wheat will be 95 bushels as opposed to 100.

The other nine workers are not stupid. They understand they’ll receive 9.5 bushels of wheat at the end of the harvesting season, even though they worked as much as called for by the original plan — one that said they would receive 10 full bushels. The nine also realize that that the worker who shirked his duty labored only half as much as everyone else.

Do you see what has happened? *One worker* performs 50 percent of his expected work load, and *everyone* gets 5 percent less than the plan originally called for. While it’s true the shirker gets a half bushel less than the plan originally stipulated, he gets *4.5 bushels for which he did not work*.

Soon, realizing that the communal arrangement may also afford them the opportunity to get more by working less, *all the other workers* will reduce their efforts, and more than likely, reduce them substantially. Soon the planned output of 100 bushels becomes a real output of next to none!<sup>2</sup>

Unjust!

Aside from the practical, ominous reality of a real lack of productivity, please do not miss this vital point:

Despite all of the cries in favor  
of this kind of system to  
achieve justice through equal  
outcomes, *equality of outcomes is  
an unjust goal!*

Just ask the nine workers who didn’t shirk their work responsibilities and received a half bushel less than they expected, even as they watched an individual who worked only half as much as they did receive four-and-a-half bushels for which he did not work! Do *you* think such a system is just? What would you say about this system if you were one of the nine laborers in the wheat field?

Learn more about cultural Marxism and how it and Christianity are incompatible at <https://t.ly/pj4tw>.

Notes:

<sup>1</sup>Thomas J. DiLorenzo, *How Capitalism Saved America, The Untold History of Our Country, from the Pilgrims to the Present*, (New York: Three Rivers Press, 2004), 55.

<sup>2</sup>Gary M. Walton and Hugh Rockoff, *History of the American Economy*, 8th ed. (New York: Dryden Press, 1998), 30. — cited in Thomas J. DiLorenzo, *How Capitalism Saved America* (see footnote #1).